/ Preserve

App. F. IX-4

1934
(Mysore)

File No. 149-34
Serial Nos. 1-6

Previous References
37 30 1 2
26 27-1-2
7- 28 15-
221 24 1 12

Later References.
20- 84-1 2
76 24 -1-5-
109-23-1-4
do -5 -6
Mys 409-22-1-2

Later References
Mys 216-35-

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6.

Part B for August 35

SUBJECT.

Review re the Chattram building at Grama Hassan Taluk and its lands.

To Mr Channapatnam Narasimhachar
c/o Mr Belur Srinivasa Iyengar
Advocate Bangalore

216.

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

No 201 of 16-8-35
States that 6 months time be given to the petitioner to carry out the improvements etc and the resumption order of 1910-34 be

Mem Revision of 34-35 re: the Chattram Bondage at Grama Harijanam & the law in force

General and Revenue Secretariat — [App F III—2

File No 148 of 1934

Serial No	
1	Petition dt 11.1.35 filed by M. Ranga Iyengar Advocate on behalf of Chinnapanam Narasimhacharya - Vadya - Vishnurajapuram praying for the cancellation of the Cofarte order of Government obtained by the Inam Commissioner directing the cancellation of the Dharm Lewai of lands endowed for the upkeep of the Chattram buildings at Grama Village - Harijanam taluk
	1) This is a petn praying in effect for a revision of G.O. No 594-7/Rev 73-34-2 dt 24.10.34 directing the resumption of the Dharmadaya Inamlands & the Cancellation of the Inam endowed for the upkeep of the Chattram at Grama Village - Harijanam Tk, Nellore Dist.
	2) The petr says that the now purchased Cofarte lands for an appropriate rent fixed prior to the repairs; he also requests that a stay order may be issued to the Collector of Nellore. The Revenue letter of 30/8/34 explains the circumstances under which the stay order was passed. The petn is accordingly liable to be rejected.
	3) Petr is invited to furnish the information called for in paras 2 & 3 of the Revenue letter dt 30-8-34 (Copy H)

NOTE—Contn Sheet #

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12 6 1

Re: resumption of Dharmadaya Inam land endowed for the upkeep of the Chatram at Gramam, Village, Hassan Dt.

File No. of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

In which it is stated that Channapatna Narasimhachar (present petr.) was the only Inamdar who, in response to the notice issued to the Inamdars to show cause why the lands shd not be resumed, came forward with a proposition that if all the lands shd be handed over to him he will undertake to repair the Chatram building at Gramam, Hassan Taluk, that the D.C. recommended the acceptance of his proposal but that the R.C. was not in favour of the proposal. This view of the R.C. has been accepted as may be seen from the Members note at para 8 in Orig file No 73-34 Rev I-2 post rep.

In these cases it is for consideration whether the records shd be called for & the party given a hearing or whether the present petition may be rejected.

29/1/35

There is another petition and just now praying for a stay of the order passed by both. No fresh grounds are urged therein. If the Review petition is to be rejected then this petition also may be rejected. If the Review petition is to be heard after calling for records it is for consideration if the request for stay order may be granted. (The D.C. the R.C. have offices in the same place, I think.)

29/1/35

R2
29.1.35

Yes. Stay order may issue

29/1/35

R2
30.1.35

Petition received on 29/1 from the Advocate praying for staying of orders passed and

OFFICE OF THE SECOND ASSISTANT SECRETARY
29 JAN. 1935
No. 495

BRIEF SECRETARY
29 JAN. 1935

Serial No.

8 Submitted 2 Drafts
Mad
30/1/35

9) Submitted
30/1/35

3 No 1090 of 30.1.35 to the Municipal Commissioner requesting him to stay the execution of orders passed in review and calling for entire records in the subject.

No 1091 of 30/1/35 to Mr N. Ramaswamy Advocate for the Review petitioner intimating that the request for the stay order has been granted —

Letter No 857/28-29 of 2.3.1935 from the Municipal Commissioner submitting records connected with the review petition filed by Chandrabhanu Narasimhacha

10) The facts of the case are fully set forth in the Harijan Department's report of 28.7.34 addressed to the M.C. When notices to all concerned for keeping the Chattram building in good repair were issued, it is found that the present review petitioner & another Gobalachari appeared before the M.C. & the Chattram, the present petitioner agreed to object the necessary repairs to the building at his own cost & maintain it in good condition; on condition that all the charges hereafter for the Chattram building be left with him, three months time allowed to him to do the necessary

Last para page 4 ante

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPB—22,500—12 6 31

17) Issue the draft. Airgram

G.S
25/4

25 APR. 1935
GENL & REV. SECTT.

No 1377 & 25-4-1935 of Mr. K. R. Parthasarathi. Advocate intimating that the Mug. case has been adjourned to 25th May 1935 at 2 PM.

No. 1378 & 25/4/35 of Mrs Chandrabai Narasimhachar, the Revision petitioner, forwarding a true Copy of the above letter.

Telegram of 27.4.35 from Mr. K. Ranjiengar Advocate (Camp. Belgaum) requesting that the Mug. Appeal may kindly be adjourned.

18) Sir Mr. K. R. Parthasarathi Advocate has already been informed that the case has been adjourned & that it would be heard on Saturday the 25th May 19 In view of this no action is called for.

Mag T.

19) Submitted for perusal.

GS
27/4

20) Perused
Secretary Member
27/4
27/4 27/4/35 27/4
APR 1935
NO.

19/3/35

Saturday the 27th April may be fixed

Muz Reviews re: The Management of the Chattram at Srama Karan Tu & the Inam lands endowed therefor.

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 1317 of 193 — [App F III—2

Serial No

1935. 21) The case was called on Saturday the 25th May. Mr Bala Srinivasa Iyengar & Mr K.R. Parthasarathi Iyengar appeared for the petitioner and were heard. Order reserved.

The 25 May

Bala Srinivasa Iyengar has filed a vakalat.

G.P. 25/5.

ORDER.

**

22) The petitioner's counsel stated that he was not given any notice before Government accepted the recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner and that he has been trying his level utmost to collect money for the repairs and keep the chattram building in a good state of preservation. He also said he obtained an estimate from the Public Works Department for the purpose and requested that some more time might be given to carry out these repairs etc. In the circumstances of the case, it is considered desirable that a final chance may be given to the petitioner to comply with the previous orders. Accordingly, I direct that six months' time may be given to the petitioner to carry out the improvements etc. to the chattram building to the satisfaction of the Deputy Commissioner. A report may be submitted by the Deputy Commissioner through the Muzrai Commissioner after the expiry of the period whether he has carried out the repairs satisfactorily or not. Further orders will be passed on the receipt of the report. Meanwhile, the order of August 1934 will be held in abeyance.

—GPT—22 500—12 6 34

File No. 149 of 1934 | General and Secretariat

~~Petn.~~ submitted with a draft.

G.S. 13/8

13/8

13/8

No 201 dy 16/8/35 to the Chairman Narasimha Char forwarding Copy of the order passed on his revision petition.

No 202 dy 16/8/35 to the Inam Commissioner forwarding Copy of the order passed in the matter

No 203 dy 16/8/35 to the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District forwarding Copy of the order pertaining to the Chairman of Gowda Village Hassan Taluk

M C 13.]
No. 257/28-29
Encl

Muz 67/35-36

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER,
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore,. ...15th. July.....193 5.

16.

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

GENERAL SECRETARY
16 JULY 1935

H....V....Ramaswami,....Esq.,...M.A.,....B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....General.....*Department.*

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No.C.257/28-29 dated 21 March 1935 re: the resumption and sale of inam lands granted for the up-keep of the private chattram at Shanthigrama, Hassan Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith the application of Mr. G. A. Padmarajaiah, received with letter No.C.1631-33 dated 11th June 19 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, praying for the con firmation of the sale or refund of the bid amount, and to request the favour of early orders of Government on the appeal.

I have the honor to be,
SIR,
Your most obedient servant,
Muzrai Commissioner.

GENERAL SECRETARY
17 JULY 1935
457

ested appeal was heard by
Second Member of Council & ors

H. Cs dtd 2-3-35 submitted by the
(D.No 1000) was Second Member on 27/4/35.
The bidder in the auction sale of the Shanmadaya Inam lands
endowed for the Chattram at Shanthigrama Village, Hassan Tk
prays for the sale of the lands being confirmed or the amount
returned to him. may the return of the file
with orders be awaited? Submitted for orders.

17.7.35
17.7.35
17/7
17/7/35

~~Offr. Asst~~. govt.
~~1978~~ passed
26/8 in the matter
The enclosure to this
letter may be therefore
returned to the Munsiri
Comm. for being disposed
of in accordance with
the orders contained in
the orders of govt. dated
16th Aug. 1935 — Flag U.
submitted with a draft.

26 8.35.

[illegible] 26/8

No 293 28/8/1935
to the Munsiri Commissioner
returning the petition
in original received
with his letter no 257/28-29
dt 16/7/1935

[illegible] the enclosed
[illegible] 26/8

The file connected
with should invariably
be put up when a file
has to be [illegible]
and not a [illegible] copy
of a [illegible].

26/8

SECTION.
AUG. 1935
CENTRAL REGY. SECTT.

815

Muz 149-34-7

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA

presented by Ranga Iyengar advocate

11/1/35

OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

Muz Review 10

~~Mis. Petition~~ of 1934-35.

Petitioner:- Channapattanam Narasimhachar, Vadhyar, Krishnarajapuram C/o Messrs.K.Ranga - Iyengar B.A.LL.B., and K.R.Parthasarathy B.A.LL.B., Advocates, Chandrasala, Chickpet, Bangalore City.

D.No 253.

Lr no 594-7 Muz 73-34-2 dt 24/10/34.

The Petitioner abovenamed begs to state as follows:-

1. The ancestors of the Petitioner constructed a Chattram building at Grama Village, Hassan District which was subsequently endowed with Inam lands in Grama and Mallenahalli Villages for its upkeep.

2. Later in G.O.No.252- 5/Muzroi 202 Inam Tenure was cancelled and the lands were ordered to be disposed off in auction.

3. The Petitioner and others put in a Review Petition before the Government and - Order No. 1451-3/Muz 76-24/25 was passed - directing the cancellation of resumption of - Inam and regrant to the Petitioner and others subject to keeping the Chathram in good conditic

4. The Petitioner alone approached the Amildar and through him obtained an estimate from the Executive Engineer for Rs.371/-

5. In pursuance of the said estimate the Petitioner has partly collected building - materials to the extent of Rs.100/- and he is taking steps for the repairs of the Chattram.

6. There are three other Inamdars directly connected with the Chathram and are in direct possession of the three-fourths of the Inam lands.

7. The Petitioner spent considerable ~~amount~~ time in obtaining their consent to the expenditure according to the estimate and hence there was delay in effecting necessary repairs.

8. The Petitioner now learns that - without any notice whatever to the Petitioner the Muzroi Commissioner has obtained orders of the Government cancelling the Inam tenure and thereby causing hardship to the Petitioner and undoing the grace and justice done by the - Government.

WHEREFORE the Petitioner respectfull prays that the exparte order made be kindly set aside, an opportunity given to the Petitioner to complete the repairs and he be allowed to enjoy the privileges granted by the Government.

Further the Petitioner prays that pending the disposal of this Miscellaneous Petition the execution of the order No.

be kindly stayed.

BANGALORE

11-1-1935.

PETITIONER.

ADVOCATES FOR THE PETITIONER.

ಮೈಸೂರಿನ THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

IN THE COURT OF THE

OF TUMKUR

193 193 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ Mis. ನಂಬರು

ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ	VS.	ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಎದುರು ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ಅಪರಾಧಿ
Channapatnam Narasimhachar.		

ಮಾ| ರಾ| ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ ಕೆ. ರಂಗಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಬಿ.ಎ., ಎಲ್ಎಲ್.ಬಿ., ಮತ್ತು
~~ಕೆ. ಆರ್. ಪಾರ್ಥಸಾರಥಿ, ಪ್ಲೀಡರ್ ಯುವರಿಗೆ~~
K.R .Parthasarathy B.A.LL.B.,

Petitioner ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ವಿವಾದಿಸಿ ಫೈಸಲ್ ಹೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಬಗ್ಯೂ, ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ವಿಚಿಷ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲೂ ಅರ್ಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲತಕ್ಕ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಾಕ್ಷೆಭತ್ಯ, ವಗೈರೆ ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ರಸೀತಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ನನಗಾಗಿ ವಾಪಸು ಆಗುವ ದಾವಾಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು, ಪ್ರೊಸೆಸ್‌ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪುಗಳು ಸಹ ಪಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಮತ್ತು ನನ್ನ ಬಾಬತ್ತು ವಿಚಿಷ್ಟ ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾಪಸು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹಾ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ತಾವಾಗಲೀ ಅಥವ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲೀ ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್‌ರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಲು ಇದರಿಂದ ಒಡ್ಡನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು .. 11th Jan ...

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಸರ್ಟಿಫೈ ಮಾಡಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ

ಈ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

M. Ranganna for K. Partha [illegible]

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್.



O RUPEES

24/1 EFO GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS H MAHARAJA

OF MYSORE AT BANGALO 145-3.



Mis.Petition No. of 1934-35.

Channapattanam
Narasimhachar. PETITIONER.

The Petitioner abovenamed begs to state as follows:-

1. The Petitioner has filed a Mis. Petition No. before the Government - against the proceedings culminating in an order No.594/ Muz 73-34-2 dated Bangalore 19/24th October 1934 for resumption of the Devadaya Inam lands endowed to Chathram - buildings at Grama.

2. The Petitioner has set forth very ~~xxxxfx~~ clearly the reasons for the delay - caused in effecting necessary repairs to the Chathram in his Mis.Petition.

3. The Petitioner humbly desires to - bring to the notice of the Government in this connection the contents of the Petition - presented before the Deputy Commissioner of Hassan and the recommendation thereon made by the said Deputy Commissioner. The Petitioner feels that the recommendation of the Deputy - Commissioner has some how escaped the notice of the Government.

4. The Petitioner is even now ready and willing to maintain the Chathram in proper - condition provided the entire Inam lands are - given to his possession and that has been in fact the subject matter of the Petition before the Government.

5. The Petitioner learns that the Inam lands are to sold by auction on the 31st of this month. The Petitioner will be put to - considerable loss in case the lands are sold and ~~xx xx~~ will be unable to perform the -. necessary duties connected with the upkeep of the Chathram.

WHEREFORE the Petitioner prays that the sale may kindly be stayed and orders be ~~xxxx~~ kindly issued to the Sub Division Officer, Hassan, in that direction pending the disposal of the Petition~~xx~~ before the Government.

BANGALORE
8/1/35

K.R. Parthasarathy

ADVOCATE ~~FOR~~ FOR PETITIONER.

SUBJECT .....

... .

....

Section

File No. 149-34

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to .... No. 1090 Emp

To

The Mc.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Sir,

Date of issue ....30-7-35.....

In Connection with the petition filed by Chamapathanam Narasimhachar praying for a review of Govt order. No 594/Rev-73-34-2 dt 19/21-10-1934 directing the cancellation of the inam tenure of the lands endowed for the upkeep of the Chatram building at Grama Village Hanamkonda &c, I am directed to request that you to be so good as to stay from the execution of ~~the action being taken in accordance~~ with the said Govt proceedings order,

Signed by M. Chamanna

List of enclosures ... .

Copied by ....

Examined by ....

WD 0677 —G PB—15,000—9-11-33

Dr. Remsen
on the petition.
The entire records connected with the case will
shortly be sent.

I have to
[illegible]
30/135
In check

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

19

Dated, Bangalore, 30th January *193*5

1091

From Mog 149-34-4

The *Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

Messrs. K. Ranga Iyengar, B.A., LL.B., and
K.R. Parthasarathy, Advocates, Bangalore.

Sirs

Dear Sirs,

With reference to the petition dated the 11th Jan. 1935 and 29th January 1935 filed by you on behalf of your client Chennapatnam Narasimhachar praying for a review of the orders passed in respect of the cancellation of the Inam tenure of the Dharmadaya lands endowed for the maintenance of the Chattram Building at Grama, Hassan Taluk and for a stay of orders passed, I am directed to state that your request for the grant of a stay order in the matter has been granted.

Yours faithfully,

M Shamanna

for Chief Secretary to Government.

Appendix F G—1]

20

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section M 3

File No. 149-34

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1091

To Messrs
K. Rangaswamy BA. LLB
& KR Varadarajan Iyengar
Advocates, Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue . 8-1-35

Signed by .....

Dear Sirs,

In reference to the petition dated 11-1-35 & 24-1-35 presented by you on behalf of your client Channapatnam Narasimhachar praying for a review of the orders passed in respect of the Cancellation of the Inam tenure of the lands, I am directed to state that your request for the grant of a stay order in the matter has been granted.

Yours faithfully,

30/1/35

Secretary

Endorsed for the maintenance of the Chattram existing at Srirangapatna, Hemmanahalli, pursuant to orders passed

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 0677 —G PB—15,000—3-11-33

21

13.J
No. 257/28-29 OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
Civil Record

Dated Bangalore 31st March 193

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAO,

Muzrai Commissioner in

To

MW 3149-34-5

Rajasevasakta
R. Ranga Rao, B.A., B.L.,
Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore, *Department*

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.1000/Muz.149-34-5 dated 30th January 1935 re: the resumption and sale of inam lands granted for the up-keep of the Chattram at Kuntigrama, Hassan Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.C.1631/33 dated 23rd February 1935 from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District, together with the entire records bearing on the case.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Muzrai Commissioner.

No.C.1631-33 dated 23-2-35 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Resumption and sale of inam lands granted for the up-keep of the private chattram at Shantigrama, Hassan Taluk.

With reference to your Memo No.C.257/28-29 dated 31st Jany. 1935 on the above subject, I have the honor to forward herewith the connected records received with the Hassan Sub Division Officer's No.C3.2294-31 dated 15th February 34 and to state as follows:-

2. On a recommendation made by me in my letter No.C.1631-33 dated 28th July 1934 that the petitioner Channapatnam Narasimhachar may be allowed to enjoy the inam lands endowed for the up-keep of this chattram, as he agreed to repair the building entirely at his own cost, a copy of G.O.No.594-7/Muz.73-34-3 dated 19/24-10-34 was communicated to me in your Memo No.257/28-29 dated 30-10-1934 in which it has been ordered that the inam lands of the chattram may be resumed that their inam tenure may be cancelled and that they may be sold in public auction, the sale proceeds being credited to the General Muzrai Funds etc. In pursuance of the above Government order, the Sub Division Officer, Hassan, advertised the sale of these lands and at the time of sale on 31-1-1935, C. N. Srinivasamurthi, S/o of the petitioner Channapatnam Narasimhachar seems to have produced the letter No.1091/Muz.149-34-4 dated 30-1-1935 written to Messrs. A. Ranga Iyengar and A. R. Parthasarthi, Advocates, Bangalore, by the Chief Secretary to Government intimating the issue of a stay order. The Sub Division Officer (Mr. Kantaraj) has however conducted the sale of the lands on 31-1-1935 and they have been secured for S.Nos.317, 333, 336, 338 & 650 for Rs.365/- and the sale list shows that the bidders have also deposited Rs.376-1-6 soon after the sale was concluded. There were no bids in respect of S.No.542, 546, 592 & 611.

3. The petitioner having approached this office through the application on 1-2-1935 stating that further proceedings were not stayed by the Sub Division Officer though he produced the order issued by the Chief Secretary to Government to his Advocates and that the sale may be cancelled etc., an order was issued to the Sub Dn. Officer calling for the submission of the connected records together with a report as to why he did not stay further proceedings. An order to stay further execution of the above said G.O.No.594/Muz.73-34-3 dated 19/24-10-1934 was only received by me on 1-2-1935 with your Memo No.257/28-29 dated 31-1-1935 and therefore the same could not be communicated to the Sub Division Officer before 31-1-1935, the date on which the sale of these lands was fixed. The present Sub Dn. Officer, Mr. George Matthan reports that he is not in a position to say as to why further proceedings were not stayed since

his predecessor Mr. Kantam who is now on leave concerned the

4. The facts of this case have been fully explained in my letter C.1631-33 dated 28-7-34 addressed to you and in view of the fact a stay order has been issued by the Chief Secretary to Government sale now held by the Sub Division Officer will have to be cancelled.

5. All the connected records accompany for reference and kind retu when no longer required.

"COPY"

For Mu:rai Commissioner.

INL.S 1318. 5-2 1 2 4

MUZRAI.

HE COURT OF Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 5 JUNE 1935 NO 7-732

Mur G.S. Muzrai Case NO. 10 OF 1934-1935

Appellant Plaintiff.		Respondent Defendant.
Chennapatnam Narasimha Char	Vs	

1. I the Petitioner above named do, by these presents, retain Mr. Belur Srinivasa Iyengar BA BL Advocate to appear and conduct the above case on my behalf to judgment.

2. I further empower him to do all necessary acts in the above case, to execute any decree or order that may be passed in my behalf, and to receive from the Court all documents, refunds and all sums of money that I may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor.

3. I also undertake to confirm and ratify all the acts done by the said Advocate or by any other Advocate on his behalf in so doing.

4/6/1935 Bangalore

ಪಟ್ಟಾಭಿರಾಮ ನರಸಿಂಹಚಾರ್)

Personally known to me and signed in my presence :—ACCEPTED

Advocate for Petitioner

Belur Srinivasa Iyengar

Advocate

The case was heard on 25/5/35 & the connected file is before the Second Member of Council — Order reserved —

P.A. to the Member — We — for having this number & file in the file —

98 GS 576

Sold by : Vidya Vilas Book Depot, Chickpet, Bangalore City.

Devi Power Press, Sultanpet, Bangalore City.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Muzrai Review No.10 of 1934-35.

Review petitioner

Channapatna: Narasimhachar - Vaidyar, [illegible],
C/o [illegible] Venkatasubba Iyengar, B.A., [illegible], [illegible].

Subject.

Requests for a reconsideration of Government order No.M4-7/Muz. 74-34-2 dated 7th October 1934 sanctioning, among other matters the resumption of the Dharmada [illegible] lands and [illegible] of the Chittram Building, [illegible] Hassan Taluk. [illegible] of their lease on the sale of the lands by public auction.

The case was called on [illegible] Messrs. Chikka Srinivasa Iyengar, B.A., [illegible] and [illegible], B.A., L.T., Advocates for the petitioner were present and were heard.

Order.

The petitioner's contention that he was not given any notice before Government accepted the recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner and that he should be allowed a reasonable time to collect money for the repairs and keep the building in proper condition [illegible] public works Department for the purpose [illegible] time which may be given to carry out the repairs [illegible], in the circumstances of the case, [illegible] and a direction should be given to the petitioner to comply with the Government orders. Accordingly Government direct that a reasonable time may be given to the petitioner to carry out the improvements [illegible] Chittram building to the satisfaction of the Deputy Commissioner.

A report may be submitted by the Deputy Commissioner through the Muzrai Commissioner after the expiry of the period whether he has carried out the repairs satisfactorily or not. Further orders will be passed on the receipt of the report. [illegible] Government contained in Government Order [illegible] dated 1st October 1934 will be held in abeyance.

201/Muz 148-34-6 dt 16-8-35
Cdg wms Chairaj ahanu Narasimhachar
Cf Mr Kelu Srinivasa Iyengar Advt Bl
Advocate Bangalore city.

By order

For Gen Sec

202 Issued + Records dt 16-8-35 to the Records
Cdg w the M.C. letter
received with his letter No 25-Y/28-2
dt 2.2.35

By order

For Gen Sec

203 dt 16-8-35
Cdg w the D.C.

For Gen Sec

AC
RS

D. No. Muz. 21] 518

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Dated

No. Muz. ——

Review Appeal No. 10 of 1934-35

Respondent

Appellant.

Review Petition

Chamapatnam Narasimhachar - Vedantha -

Krishnarajayanam. C/o Messrs Belur Srinivasa Iyengar

B.A. B.L. Advocate Bangalore City.

Subject.

Request for a reconsideration of Govt. Order No. 594-7/Muz 3 dated 24.10.1934 sanctioning among other matters the resumption of the Inamdars Inam lands endowed for the upkeep of the Chatrams pertaining to Grama, Stanam &c. the cancellation of their Inam Tenure & the sale of the same by public auction.

The Case was called on Saturday the 25th May 1935. Messrs Belur Srinivasa Iyengar B.A. B.L. and K. R. Pattabhirama Rao B.A. B.L. Advocates for the petitioner were heard and the case was finally disposed of.

WD 0580—GPB—250—10-8 22

28 ~~f~~ The petitioner's counsel stated given any notice before Govt accepted the recommend of the M.C and that he has been taxing his level to collect money for the repairs & keeps the Ca bankai in a good state of preservation. He also said ~~that~~ he obtained an estimate from the P.W. for the purpose and requested that some time might be given to carry out these repairs In the circumstances of the case, it is considered desirable that a final chance may be given to the petitioner to comply with the previous order. Accordingly Govt direct that six months time may be given to the petitioner to carry the improvements to the Chattram building to the satisfaction of the D.C. A report may be called by the D.C. through the M.C. after the expiry of the period whether he has carried out the repairs satisfactorily or not. Further action will be based on receipt of the report. Meanwhile the orders of Govt. contained in G.O. No. 544-7 dated 19.10.34 ~~the order of Oct 1934~~ will be held in abeyance.

B.C.?

2nd Instn. 149-34 16/12/34

Copy to Sri Chamrapatnam Narasimhachar C/o Mr Belur Srinivasa Iyengar B.A. B.L. Advocate Bangalore City.

13/8/35

I. R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No. Secy. 2029 dated 13/8/35

Copy forwarded with compliments to the ~~Collr~~ D.C. Cuddapah with the reports received with his letter No. 25. 7/28-29 dy 2.3.1935.

B-O

13/8

No 2038 14/8/35 for Gen Sec.

Copy forwarded with compliments ~~for~~. Copy to the D.C., Hyderabad.

B. O

13/8

For Gen Sec

Gen Secy

13/8/35

30

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 27th August *193* 5

No. 293 / Encl

From

The General *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office Memo No.202/Muz.149-34-6 dated 16th August 1935 forwarding copy of the decision in Muzrai Review 10 of 1934-35 relating to the management of the chattram at Grama Village, Hassan Taluk and the properties endowed to it, I am directed to return herewith in original petition dated 24th May 1935 from Mr. G. A. Padmarajaiya of Shanti Grama Village received with your letter No.257/28-29 dated 16th July 1935.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

General Secretary to Government.

Appendix F. G.–1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mg3

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 283

To

The M.C.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 29/8/35

Signed by Mudaliar Krishnaswamy

Sir,

In continuation of this office memo no. 202/Mg3 149-34-6 dy 16-8-35 forwarding copy of the decision in Mg3 Review 10 of 1934-3 relating to the management of the Chatram at Grama Village to it, Hosur T.K. the prospective endowed thereof

I am directed to return herewith in original petn dy 24/8/35 from Mr L. A. Padmanarajaiah of Shanti Grama Village

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....